IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

No. 13-520T

(Judge Margaret M. Sweeney)

JEFFREY H. GREINER & KIM E. GREINER,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE UNITED STATES,

Defendant.

ANSWER

Defendant, the United States, through its attorneys, hereby answers the complaint in the above-captioned case. Defendant respectfully denies each and every allegation that is not specifically admitted below.

At this juncture, defendant further:

- 1. Admits that this is an action arising under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and codified at Title 26 of the United States Code, for the recovery of \$4,742,703 in federal income tax collected from Plaintiffs by Defendant. Denies that the income tax was illegally or erroneously assessed and collected.
 - 2. Avers that jurisdiction, to the extent it exists, arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1491.
 - 3. Admits allegations contained in paragraph 3.
 - 4. Admits allegations contained in paragraph 4.
 - 5. Admits allegations contained in paragraph 5.

- 6. Admits allegations contained in paragraph 6.
- 7. Avers that at some point prior to June 1, 2004, plaintiff Jeffery Greiner was employed by Advanced Bionics Corporation. States that it currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in paragraph 7.
- 8. States that it currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 8.
- 9. States that it currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 9.
- 10. States that it currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 10.
- 11. States that it currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 11.
 - 12. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 12.
- 13. States that it currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 13.
 - 14. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 14.
 - 15. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 15.
- 16. States that it currently lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 16.
- 17. Admits that under the terms of the settlement agreement, Mr. Greiner was entitled to receive two fixed payments from Boston Scientific: one in February of 2008 (in the amount of \$15,044,138.00) and the other in March of 2009 (in the amount of \$20,804,697.00).

- 18. Admits that plaintiffs originally reported the February 2008 and March 2009 payments as ordinary income, consistent with Boston Scientific's reporting of those payments. Denies that plaintiffs' reporting was erroneous.
 - 19. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 19.
 - 20. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 20.
 - 21. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 21.
 - 22. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 22.
 - 23. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 23.
 - 24. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 24.
 - 25. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 25.
 - 26. Admits the allegations contained in paragraph 26.
- 27. Defendant hereby incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 26, as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. Avers that the allegation in paragraph 28 is legal argument and conclusion, which require no response.
- 29. Avers that the allegation in paragraph 29 is legal argument and conclusion, which require no response.
 - 30. Denies.
- 31. Defendant hereby incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 30, as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. Avers that the allegation in paragraph 32 is legal argument and conclusion, which require no response.

| 33. | Avers that the allegation in paragraph 33 is legal argument and conclusion, which | h |
|-----------------|---|---|
| | | |
| require no rest | oonse. | |

34. Denies.

WHEREFORE, defendant prays the complaint be dismissed, with all allowable costs assessed against plaintiff.

| | Respectfully submitted, |
|------------------|---|
| <u>11/8/2013</u> | _s/Starling Marshall |
| | KATHRYN KENEALLY Assistant Attorney General DAVID I. PINCUS Chief, Court of Federal Claims Section G. ROBSON STEWART Assistant Chief, Court of Federal Claims Section |
| 11/8/2013 | _ <u>s/ G. Robson Stewart</u> Of Counsel |

Attorneys for the United States